


Designing Social Research

The Logic of Anticipation

Second Edition

Norman Blaikie



SEP-2016

polity

Preparing Research Designs

Chapter Summary

- A *research design* is a private working document that is prepared by a researcher or a research team before a research project is undertaken. It incorporates all the decisions that need to be made and provides justifications for these decisions. This should ensure that the decisions are consistent and it exposes them to critical evaluation. These decisions include:
 - the selection of the research problem and the researcher's motives and goals for investigating it;
 - the research question(s) that will address the problem and the purposes associated with it/them;
 - the choice of research strategy (logic of enquiry) to investigate each research question and justifications for these choices;
 - elaboration of the ontological and epistemological assumptions on which the research will be founded;
 - an outline of the research paradigm or paradigms within which the research will be conducted;
 - an elaboration of relevant concepts and theory and how they relate to the research process;
 - if relevant, a statement of the hypothesis or hypotheses to be tested, or an elaboration of the mechanisms to be investigated;
 - a discussion of data sources, types and forms;
 - a discussion of methods for selecting data from these sources;
 - an outline of the methods of data collection, reduction and analysis to be used;
 - and a discussion of the problems that might be encountered and the limitations of the design in its ability to answer the research questions.
- In contrast, a *research proposal* is a public document that is used to obtain necessary approvals for the research to proceed, including from an appropriate ethics committee, or for research funding applications. It addresses different audiences from a research design. While it includes many of the components in the associated research design, some of these may be presented in a different form. In addition, it will include the following:

- a statement of the researcher's motives and goals;
- some background information on the problem;
- a budget and timetable;
- a statement of the research question(s);
- identification of the research paradigm or paradigms;
- and an identification of the intended audience.

The ultimate purpose of preparing chapters is to ensure that the researcher is able to understand the structure and content of the chapters for research purposes. This is considerably more than just a list. There is also a need to ensure that the latter.

A *research design* is a private working document that is prepared by a researcher or a research team before a research project is undertaken. It incorporates all the decisions that need to be made and provides justifications for these decisions. This should ensure that the decisions are consistent and it exposes them to critical evaluation. These decisions include:

- make these decisions;
- spell out why these decisions are necessary;
- ensure that the decisions are consistent;
- allow for critical evaluation.

In postgraduate research, the outcome of the research process is the outcome of the research process. The outcome of the research process is the outcome of the research process. The outcome of the research process is the outcome of the research process.

Research proposal

- making public the research design;
- obtaining necessary approvals for the research to proceed, including from an appropriate ethics committee, or for research funding applications;
- applying for research funding.

- a statement of the aims and significance of the research (rather than researcher's motives and goals);
- some background that will justify the need to address the research problem;
- a budget and justifications for each item in it;
- a timetable for each stage of the research process;
- a statement of expected outcomes and benefits;
- identification of ethical issues and how they will be handled;
- and an indication of how the findings will be communicated to relevant audiences.

Introduction

The ultimate purpose in exploring the issues and processes covered in the following chapters is to facilitate the preparation of a detailed research design. In order to understand these processes, I shall begin by setting out some guidelines for the structure and content of a research design and compare this with the requirements for research proposals. While *research designs* and *research proposals* overlap considerably in their requirements, they are intended for different audiences. There is also likely to be a sequence to their development; the former informing the latter.

A *research design* is a technical document that is developed by one or more researchers and is used by them as a guide or plan for carrying out a research project. Decisions that need to be made at the beginning, or soon after some exploratory work has been completed, are stated, justified, related and evaluated. The aim is to:

- make these decisions explicit;
- spell out why they have been made;
- ensure that they are consistent with each other; and
- allow for critical evaluation.

In postgraduate¹ research, a research design is a working document that may be the outcome of courses in research methodology and methods, and the dialogue between student and supervisor/adviser. It should be the constant point of reference and guide throughout the research. If it is necessary to make changes as the research proceeds, or if it is necessary to allow some elements of the research design to evolve in the course of the research, this will happen in the context of the initial set of research design decisions. Amendments will need to achieve the same consistency between the research design elements.

Research proposals have different purposes and audiences. They can be used for:

- making public presentations and receiving feedback;
- obtaining official approval from appropriate university authorities for the project to proceed, including endorsement by a human ethics committee; or
- applying for research grants.

While research designs involve making and reporting a range of choices about *what* is to be studied and *how* it will be studied, research proposals require much more emphasis on *why* the research is to be conducted, including what it will contribute to knowledge and/or practical outcomes, and *why* it has been designed in a particular way. Where research funding is applied for, a proposal needs to state *what* funding is required for each aspect of the research and *why* it needs that level of funding.

Because research proposals have a number of purposes, they can be prepared in a number of versions. In addition, some information may be in a different form to that of research designs, and additional details may be required. A research proposal may be less technical than a research design, in that it may not include all the details of the decisions and justifications related to each design element. When a research proposal is intended for public presentation, it may include more details on it. Hence, it is likely to be a longer and a more discursive document than a research design. On the other hand, an application to a committee for approval of the project may be much briefer, and may emphasize the justification for the research and the more technical aspects of data sources, collection and analysis. An application for research funds may be similar to an approval version, but will usually require a detailed budget and justification for the various categories of expenditure.

Before proceeding to outline the requirements for research designs and, to a lesser extent, research proposals, I must point out that I am trying not to be prescriptive (although I certainly have been with my own students!). Rather, I offer two frameworks that will no doubt need to be adapted to local requirements and practices. In some situations, maybe only one document is required; in other situations, the distinctions between them may be drawn differently. My purpose is to identify the many elements that should be considered, and about which decisions may need to be made, in planning social research.

Two other important points need to be made at this stage. The first concerns the common view that social research consists of a set of linear stages. These stages commonly include the formulation of the problem, the statement of hypotheses, the development of measuring instruments (e.g. an attitude scale or a questionnaire), the selection of a sample, the collection of the data, the analysis of the data, and the preparation of the report (see e.g. Bailey 1994; Babbie 2004; Kumar 2005). I believe that such conceptions are not only simplistic but are also inappropriate for certain kinds of research.

In much the same way, the process of designing social research may also be represented as a linear sequence of decisions. While some of the diagrams used in this book could be interpreted in this way, I want to stress that, in practice, the preparation of a research design is likely to involve many iterations, and, like many types of research, is a cyclical rather than a linear process. Because the elements of a design must be intimately related, the process of making any decision will have an impact on other decisions. For example, early decisions may need to be reviewed and changed in the light of problems encountered in making later decisions, and decisions may need to be changed when the design is reviewed for consistency. In short, a complex process is required to make the various decisions consistent and compatible.

The second points need to be effort should be the decisions will losing control of. However, this to recognize that finalize all the In some research determine what those concerned after. Some research in order to be able do this may begin the beginning, for problems are one of a fully worked of a particular project.

It is inevitable the research design may be ventured required to produce starts a project to up a project to seem to be a that students are. Consequently, the demanding and sciences. In the team, or make a

A research design technical decisions ideally, designed to the research aspects of the research. Designing a research

To design is to action arises to anticipation of before we can do before the procedure. (Ackoff 1981)

The second point is concerned with the view that all research design decisions need to be made before the research begins in earnest. In my view, every effort should be made to do this. The discipline of having to confront and make the decisions will be beneficial in the long run. To avoid doing this could mean losing control of the research, and, ultimately, failure to complete it satisfactorily. However, this ideal needs to be tempered with some practicalities. It is necessary to recognize that research designs differ in the extent to which it is possible to finalize all the design decisions before the major stages of a project commence. In some research projects, what is learnt at one stage of the research will help to determine what will be done at a later stage. Exploratory research projects, and those concerned with theory generation rather than testing, may have this character. Some research projects may require exploratory and developmental work in order to be able to make important research design decisions. In fact, to fail to do this may jeopardize the project. This exploratory work will usually occur at the beginning, but may have to be undertaken later, particularly if unanticipated problems are encountered. Therefore, while it is important to strive for the ideal of a fully worked out research design before serious research begins, the realities of a particular project must be taken into consideration.

It is inevitable that research projects will differ in the time needed to prepare the research design; some research topics are just more complex than others, or may be venturing into relatively uncharted territory. Hence, the time and effort required to produce a research design is usually much greater when a researcher starts a project from scratch, rather than by joining a research team or by picking up a project to which others have already made significant contributions. It seems to be a common feature of postgraduate research in the social sciences that students are expected to, or wish to, define and develop their own project. Consequently, the design stage of postgraduate social science research is more demanding and time-consuming than it appears to be in the natural and physical sciences. In the latter disciplines, students frequently become part of a research team, or make a contribution to their supervisor's research programme.

Research Designs

A research design is an integrated statement of and justification for the technical decisions involved in planning a research project. As already indicated, ideally, designing social research is the process of making all decisions related to the research project before they are carried out. This involves anticipating all aspects of the research, then planning for them to occur in an integrated manner. Designing a research project is the way in which control is achieved.

To design is to plan; that is, design is the process of making decisions before the situation arises in which the decision has to be carried out. It is a process of deliberate anticipation directed toward bringing an expected situation under control. . . . If, before we conduct an inquiry, we anticipate each research problem and decide what to do before-hand, then we increase our chances for controlling the research procedure. (Ackoff 1953: 5)

This process is analogous to the activities of an architect in designing a building: it involves recording, relating and then evaluating the decisions that need to be made. Careful attention to detail, and a concern with the overall workability of the design, is required. Designing social research involves the same processes. In particular, it is necessary to make sure that individual design decisions are consistent and fit together. These decisions then need to be evaluated critically, and, to do this, the design decisions need to be made explicit. This book is about how to achieve this.

The components of a research design can be organized in many ways. The following framework is presented as an example of what the structure of a research design might look like. (Examples of four different research designs are presented in chapter 8.)

Title

The title or topic of a research project needs to be both concise and informative. It should capture the essence of what the project will be about and where and with whom it will be conducted. It is sometimes useful to divide the statement of the topic into two parts: the first part can refer to the issue under investigation; and the second part can locate the study. (See chapter 8 and the Appendix for examples of the wording of research topics.)

While it is useful to have a clear statement of the topic at the beginning of the research design process, this is not always possible. Not only is the nature of the research likely to be clarified during the course of preparing the research design, but also the best title may not emerge until after the research is completed. Therefore, it is unwise to waste time at the beginning trying to get the wording perfect. As we shall see, it is better to concentrate on stating the research problem clearly, and preparing the research questions and other elements of the design, and then return to the title later.

Research problem

A research problem is an intellectual puzzle that the researcher wants to investigate. The statement of the problem will normally consist of a few concise paragraphs. It may include reference to some literature, such as reports of previous research in the field and related areas, both academic and non-academic, theoretical discussions, official statistics, and, perhaps, newspaper articles. It might be informed by the findings of prior exploratory research.

A research design may set out with more than one problem or a related set of puzzles. As the work on the design proceeds, a choice of the one to be investigated will need to be made. To recapitulate, a research proposal is the product of a developmental process that is likely to involve a number of iterations. While it is important to try to get ideas on the topic clear as soon as possible, as with the title, it is possible that a precise statement of the problem cannot be formulated at the beginning of the design process. It is more likely to evolve as the design develops and may only become clear towards the end of the process. In addition, it is often necessary to make changes to the statement of the problem as the research proceeds (see chapter 2, 'Getting Started').

Motives and

The research is for undergraduate students. Personal reasons for achieving a degree in a discipline, other more practical reasons, and some organizational reasons are a useful

Research questions

Research questions are to answer other aspects in many ways.

preparation

Research questions are important to different research questions so with interviews.

In my view, research questions are trying to achieve lines for research various and might be given technical work to 'explore', 'assess the situation'. Such preparation research questions.

Review of the

A research design to link the previous areas of literature ground and principles and not clearly what questions, or what case of research.

Motives and goals

The research design is the place where a researcher's personal motives and goals for undertaking the research can be stated. Academic researchers, including post-graduate students, will have personal reasons for choosing a particular topic. Personal reasons might include satisfying curiosity, solving a personal problem, achieving a credential or pursuing career goals. In addition, a researcher may have other more public or altruistic reasons, such as making a contribution to knowledge in a discipline, solving some social problem, or contributing to the welfare of some organization or a sector of society. Making these motives and goals explicit is a useful exercise and is often quite revealing.

Research questions and purposes

Research questions constitute the most important element of any research design. It is to answering them that the research activities are directed. Decisions about all other aspects of the research design are contingent on their contribution to this. In many ways, *the formulation of research questions is the real starting-point in the preparation of a research design.*

Research questions are essential and need to be stated clearly and concisely. They can be reduced to three main types: 'what', 'why' and 'how' questions. It is important to distinguish between these types of questions as they are related to different research purposes. In general, 'what' questions seek descriptions, 'why' questions seek explanations or understanding, and 'how' questions are concerned with interventions to bring about change.

In my view, it is not necessary to state aims or objectives in a research design. Research questions provide a better way of expressing what a research project is trying to achieve. However, aims and objectives have been included in the guidelines for research *proposals* as stating them is a useful way of communicating to various audiences what the research is about. In a research *design*, consideration might be given to listing research *purposes* instead. These are defined in a more technical way and specify what the research is intended to achieve: it may be to 'explore', 'describe', 'explain', 'understand', 'predict', 'change', 'evaluate' or 'assess the social impact of' some aspect(s) of the phenomenon under investigation. Such purposes help to define the scope of a study, and, together with the research questions, provide a clear direction.

Review of the literature

A research design should include a brief literature review. Its major function is to link the proposed research to the current state of relevant knowledge. Many areas of literature may need to be examined, for example, to provide the background and justification for the research, and to select theory, research strategies and methods. However, this section of the research design should *indicate clearly what is known from previous research about each of the research questions, or what could be anticipated in the light of existing social theory.* In the case of research for a thesis, a longer version will need to be produced and will

probably become a chapter on this. Work on this will usually continue throughout the duration of the research. However, only a summary is normally included here.

The research questions can provide the framework for both this brief literature review and the chapter in the thesis; they determine the boundaries of what is relevant. Literature that is unrelated to a research question need not be included. Using this device can save endless hours of directionless activity in libraries.

Of course, consulting previous research and relevant theory may have inspired the project in the first place, or it may need to be consulted to define the research problem and develop the research questions. In addition, the language used to define and discuss the problem, and the key concepts that are used, are likely to be drawn from some theoretical perspective, the work of a particular theorist or a research programme.

Another purpose of the literature review is to find possible answers to research questions, particularly 'why' questions. In other words, we may need to search for possible hypotheses. If hypotheses are considered to be necessary, ideally they should be derived from a theory, either an existing one that will be included in the literature review (and might later form the basis of a separate theory chapter), or one that the researcher has constructed for the research at hand. The latter will normally modify an existing theory, or integrate ideas from a number of theories. There is always the remote possibility that the review of the literature will reveal that answers to all or some of the research questions are already available and that the research project is, therefore, unnecessary. Another topic will then have to be selected. For practical guides on preparing literature reviews see Hart (1998) and Fink (2005), as well as books on writing theses/dissertations, for example, Murray (2002), Kamler and Thomson (2006) and Hartley (2008) (see chapter 3, this volume, 'Research questions and the literature review', p.68).

Research strategies

Research strategies provide a logic, or a set of procedures, for answering research questions, particularly 'what' and 'why' questions. As the social sciences have developed, a number of ways of doing this have emerged.

In my view, the choice of research strategy, or a combination of them, constitutes *the second most important research design decision*. The reason for this is that I believe knowledge can only be advanced in the social sciences by using one or a combination of four research strategies, the Inductive, Deductive, Retroductive and Abductive (see Blaikie 2007).

In brief, the four research strategies provide distinctly different ways of answering research questions. They present alternative starting- and concluding-points, and different sets of steps between these points. The Inductive research strategy starts with the collection of data and then proceeds to derive generalizations using some kind of inductive logic. The aim is to describe social characteristics and the nature of regularities, or networks of regularities, in social life. This strategy is essential for answering 'what' questions but rather limited in its capacity to answer 'why' questions.

The Deductive strategy starts with a theory and then proceeds to test it. The task is to test the theory, then to collect appropriate data. The task will be provided with similar results. The theory is either modified or confirmed. The social world is studied.

The Retroductive strategy seeks a different theory by locating the conditions for producing the observed happens. As structures are necessary to see they exist, certain imagination and a

The Abductive strategy starts with their construction of their social world accounts social actions interpreted their a researcher has to that accompany a meanings, and the social scientific data into typical motifs typifications provide ingredients in most

A research design strategies that have their appropriate able to make explicit the choice of research use of the method (4 and 7).

Research paradigms

Explicitly or implicitly a particular set of assumptions. Over the past

The Deductive research strategy cannot be used to answer 'what' questions but is used exclusively for answering 'why' questions. Hence, it adopts a very different starting-point to the Inductive strategy and is concerned with explaining some social regularity that has been discovered and which is not understood. The researcher has to find or formulate a possible explanation, a theoretical argument for the existence of the behaviour or the social phenomenon under consideration. The task is to test that theory, by deducing one or more hypotheses from it, and then to collect appropriate data. Should the data match the theory, some support will be provided for its continuing use, particularly if further tests produce similar results. However, if the data do not match the theory, the theory must be either modified or rejected. Further testing of other candidate theories can then be undertaken. Therefore, according to this research strategy, knowledge of the social world is advanced by means of a trial and error process.

The Retroductive research strategy also starts with an observed regularity but seeks a different type of explanation. In this strategy, explanation is achieved by locating the real underlying structure or mechanism(s) that is/are responsible for producing the observed regularity, and identifying the context in which this happens. As structures and mechanisms may not be directly observable, it may be necessary to search for evidence of the consequences of their existence; should they exist, certain events can be expected to occur. Retroduction uses creative imagination and analogy to work back from data to an explanation.

The Abductive research strategy has a very different logic to the other three. The starting-point is the social world of the social actors being investigated: their construction of reality, their way of conceptualizing and giving meaning to their social world, their tacit knowledge. This can only be discovered from the accounts social actors provide. Their reality, the way they have constructed and interpreted their activities together, is embedded in their language. Hence, the researcher has to enter their world in order to discover the motives and meanings that accompany social activities. The task is then to redescribe these motives and meanings, and the situations in which they occur, in the technical language of social scientific discourse. Individual motives and actions have to be abstracted into typical motives for typical actions in typical situations. These social scientific typifications provide an understanding of the activities, and may then become ingredients in more systematic explanatory accounts.

A research design should include a brief description of the research strategy or strategies that have been selected, and justification for the selection in terms of its/their appropriateness for the task of answering the research questions. It is desirable to make explicit the ontological and epistemological assumptions entailed in the choice of research strategy or strategies, as these have a bearing on how the use of the methods of data collection and analysis will be interpreted (see chapters 4 and 7).

Research paradigms

Explicitly or implicitly, social researchers usually work within the context of a particular set of theoretical ideas and ontological and epistemological assumptions. Over the past one hundred years or more a number of traditions of ideas

and assumptions have emerged and developed. In the first edition of this book, and *Approaches to Social Enquiry* (1993a), I referred to these traditions as Approaches. They are now referred to as Research Paradigms.

It is possible to conduct social research without making explicit reference to any of the research paradigms I have identified. Whereas choices made between research strategies and methods do not necessarily entail a commitment to a particular research paradigm, the reverse may be the case. In chapter 4, eleven research paradigms are identified, but it is necessary to go to Blaikie (2007) for detailed reviews and critiques.

Concepts, theories, hypotheses and models

Somewhere in a research design a discussion of concepts and theory is likely to be required. This may occur in a separate section (e.g. 'Conceptual Framework' or 'Theoretical Model'), or may be integrated in other sections (e.g. 'Literature Review'). Just what will be required, and how it will be handled, will depend on a number of things, including, particularly, the research strategy or strategies that are to be used.

All social research uses technical concepts; they form the special language of every discipline. Technical concepts are required at the outset of the research design process to state the topic, the research problem and research questions. However, after this, the way they enter into the research process differs, depending on the research strategy that is adopted. A research design may set out with some key concepts, perhaps even with a conceptual framework, and these concepts will become variables through the specification of procedures for their measurement. In other research, only sensitizing concepts will be used at the outset. Technical concepts will either emerge out of an intense examination of lay concepts, or will be created or borrowed to organize qualitative data.

The manner in which theory enters into research is a matter of great controversy and confusion, particularly for novice researchers. A common criticism of some research is that it is atheoretical, that it neither uses nor contributes to the development of social theory. On the other hand, some researchers may wish to argue that descriptive research does not need theory; that measuring variables and correlating them is a purely technical matter. However, I believe that it is impossible to avoid using theory in research. Even descriptive studies, which may be concerned with just a few concepts, cannot escape as all concepts carry theoretical baggage with them.

Social theory enters into social research in many ways. It may be a source of a theoretical language or specific concepts, and of general theoretical ideas or specific hypotheses. The four research strategies entail different views of what constitutes theory and its role in research. Focusing on these four views will help to reduce some of the complexity. The research strategies that are concerned with answering 'why' research questions also differ in terms of whether they set out with a theory to be tested, or whether their aim is to produce a new theory, i.e. whether they are concerned with theory testing or theory generation. Research that is concerned with theory generation may require sensitizing concepts but no hypotheses. On the other hand, research that is concerned with theory testing will require the researcher to borrow or construct a theory before the research begins. In this case, it is desirable to at least do some work on this theory at the research

design stage, if appropriate, by

Hypotheses of certain research. They are frequently two concepts, same relationship questions, or all relevant to the audience but they have hypotheses at the and they can play a role. The latter of developing the be formulated a

Unless a research hypotheses require hunches as hypotheses, therefore make very little not well connected. These are more ap

As with the which there is a framework, a explanatory model and 'model' are in the phrase of another range of

Some research require model. theoretical model strategies, particularly stage where the

This section of A broad understanding required, and, p

Data, types, form

It is necessary to be collected, and of the data that sources, as well larger groups. of interest to the lives, in their co

design stage; it can then be stated, its origins and relevance explained, and, if appropriate, hypotheses derived from it.

Hypotheses are tentative answers to certain kinds of research questions that use certain research strategies, particularly the Deductive strategy, to answer them. They are frequently stated in the form of a particular kind of relationship between two concepts. Testing them may involve seeing if the associated variables have the same relationship as that predicted in the hypothesis. However, not all research questions, or all research projects, require hypotheses. They are particularly relevant to the answering of 'why' questions, and perhaps to some 'how' questions, but they have no place in answering 'what' questions. In addition, the stating of hypotheses at the outset is only relevant when research is about theory testing, and they can play quite a different role when the concern is with theory development. The latter may use many tentative hypotheses in the trial and error process of developing theoretical ideas to account for the data at hand, but these cannot be formulated at the research design stage.

Unless a researcher is testing an existing hypothesis, the formulation of good hypotheses requires a great deal of theoretical work. The testing of personal hunches as hypotheses constitutes a much lower level of research activity and should, therefore, be avoided in good-quality research. Such hypotheses usually make very little contribution to the advancement of knowledge because they are not well connected to the current state of knowledge. But, let me repeat, hypotheses are more appropriate to some research strategies than others.

As with theory, the role of models in social research is a complex issue on which there is a diversity of ideas and practices. 'Model' can refer to a conceptual framework, a hypothesized set of relationships between concepts, a hypothetical explanatory mechanism, or a method for organizing research results. 'Theory' and 'model' are often used interchangeably, or even in combination, for example, in the phrase 'theoretical model'. Add the notion of 'modelling', and we have another range of activities and products to confuse the new researcher.

Some research strategies, particularly the Deductive and Retroductive, may require models to be developed at the outset. These may be conceptual models, theoretical models, or hypothetical models of causal mechanisms. Other research strategies, particularly the Inductive, can introduce models at the data analysis stage where they represent the patterns in the data in a simplified form.

This section of the research design is likely to be the most difficult to complete. A broad understanding of the role of concepts, theories, hypotheses and models is required, and, possibly, a detailed knowledge of a range of theories (see chapter 5).

Data, types, forms and sources

It is necessary to give consideration to the context or setting from which data will be collected, and to recognize the differences between them in terms of the nature of the data that they can produce. Data can be collected from four main types of sources, as well as from or about individuals, small groups and many kinds of larger groups. First, people can be studied in the context in which the activities of interest to the researcher occur, where people are going about their everyday lives, in their natural social environment. For example, family interaction may be

studied in a home, or religious rituals in a church, mosque or temple. The size of the social unit studied in this way can range from individuals and small groups, through organizations and communities, to multi-national bodies. These are referred to as *natural* social settings.

Second, a great deal of research studies people in *semi-natural* settings, when they are not actually engaged in the activities of interest. For example, people may be interviewed individually *about* the activities in which they engage in their natural settings. Sometimes data are not particularly about a social setting at all, but may deal with the attitudes and values of individuals. The third context is *artificial* settings. The classical form is the experiment; focus groups, games and simulation research are similar.

Fourth, the wide range of data that do not come from people directly are usually referred to as *social artefacts*. They are the traces or products that individuals and groups leave behind them, directly or indirectly, as a result of activities in their natural settings. People in groups produce statistics and documents and keep records for a variety of purposes, and these may be of use to the researcher. They may come out of natural settings, or be about activities in these settings.

While a research project may draw on data from only one of these sources, the use of a combination of them is common. The choice of data source will normally be incidental to other research design decisions. It is included here to highlight the need to be aware of the consequences of this decision in terms of the number of steps that the researcher can be removed from where the relevant social activity occurs.

At a more concrete level, decisions about data sources are contingent on the researcher's ability to access them. It is vital at the design stage to obtain the approvals that are necessary from the relevant gatekeepers. This may involve getting written permission from some authority to enter a natural setting (e.g. school classrooms), to conduct interviews in a semi-natural setting (e.g. with members of a work organization) or to get access to some records (e.g. case files on welfare recipients). Of course, some forms of permission have to wait until the time of data collection (e.g. individual interviews with householders).

Before the decision is made about what methods to use to collect and analyse the data to be used to answer the research questions, it is useful to give consideration to the type of data needed and the form in which the data are required. This involves a number of related decisions, although these will not necessarily be made in the order in which they are discussed here.

Three main types of data can be used in social research: *primary*, *secondary* and *tertiary*. Primary data are collected by the researcher, secondary data have been collected by someone else and are used in their raw form, and tertiary data are secondary data that have also been analysed by someone else. Hence, researchers may generate their own data directly from the people being studied. Alternatively, it may be possible to use data from official government statistics, privately compiled statistics, or a previous research project. Sometimes these data may be available in raw form, for example as a data matrix or as interview transcripts, or they may have already been analysed and only be available in tabular or summary form.

Depending on the nature of the research topic and the research questions, a

researcher may be... However, the... data. Each type of... further the research... is to judge the qua... project. These nea... problems associat... them, discussed.

Consideration of... be collected and a... *titative* and *quali...* times in images).... one of these forms... from one to the oth... soon after into nu... and then be interp... then be recorded... both forms and the... tive data, the leve... specified for each... kinds of analysis...

The reason why... design stage is to... selected approp... and software, is a... 'Sources of Data')

Selection of data sources

A critical stage in... items from which... tion of a populat... collect data from... items for study.

Textbooks on... form of a review... topic than sampl... research. This is... of data collection...

If a decision is... to be aware of wh... to generalize the... non-random (no... cannot be general... methods are avai... chapter 6, 'Popul... Whether samp...

researcher may have little or no choice about the type of data that can be used. However, the critical issue is the distance of the researcher from the source of data. Each type of data implies a different degree of control by a researcher. The further the researcher is removed from the collection process, the more difficult it is to judge the quality of the data and to ensure that they are appropriate for the project. These matters need to be made explicit in the research design, and the problems associated with the particular decisions, and methods for dealing with them, discussed.

Consideration should also be given to the form or forms in which the data will be collected and analysed. The common distinction used for this is between *quantitative* and *qualitative* data, between data in numbers or in words (and sometimes in images). However, this is not a simple distinction. Data may remain in one of these forms throughout the research process, or they may be transformed from one to the other at later stages. Data may start out as words, be manipulated soon after into numbers, may be analysed numerically, be reported in numbers, and then be interpreted in words. Alternatively, data may start out as words, and then be recorded, analysed and reported as text. Research projects can use data in both forms and they can be combined in a variety of ways. In the case of quantitative data, the levels of measurement, nominal, ordinal, interval or ratio, should be specified for each of the variables to be used as these levels have a bearing on the kinds of analysis that are appropriate.

The reason why it is desirable to give consideration to this issue at the research design stage is to ensure that the methods for collecting and analysing data are selected appropriately, and that the technology, mainly computer hardware and software, is available (see chapter 6, 'Types of Data', 'Forms of Data' and 'Sources of Data').

Selection of data sources

A critical stage in any research is the process of selecting the people, events or items from which or about which data will be collected. This involves the definition of a population of such people, events or items. Some research projects will collect data from a whole population; others will select only certain members or items for study.

Textbooks on social research methods usually discuss data selection in the form of a review of methods of sampling. While data selection is a much broader topic than sampling, one or more sampling methods are frequently used in social research. This is true whether the study uses quantitative or qualitative methods of data collection.

If a decision is made to use a sample rather than a population, it is important to be aware of whether and how the selection process will impact on your ability to generalize the results. Will the selection process use random (probability) or non-random (non-probability) methods? Data from non-probability samples cannot be generalized statistically back to any population. A number of selection methods are available and these can also be used singly or in combination (see chapter 6, 'Populations and Samples').

Whether samples are intended to represent a population, and how this can be

achieved, is a central design issue. However, a collection of people can be studied as the result of a selection process that is concerned with theory generation rather than representativeness.

Regardless of whether probability or non-probability sampling methods are to be used, the method should be elaborated in detail and the choice of method(s) justified. In addition, the source and size of the population or sample needs to be determined and justified. If some other method of selection is to be used, such as case studies, the procedures should also be stated and justified (see chapter 6, 'Selection of Data').

Data collection and timing

Collection and analysis of data are frequently regarded as the core activities in social research. Novice researchers have a tendency to want to launch into data collection as soon as a research topic has been selected, for example, to get on with constructing a questionnaire or to start interviewing. If this book does nothing else, I hope it will temper this practice and show that decisions about data collection and analysis must await many other considerations.

A wide array of quantitative and qualitative methods is available in the social sciences, and there are countless books available on how to develop and use them. These methods include:

- *observation*, ranging from highly structured to unstructured, and from a very detached position to a very involved position;
- *interviewing*, ranging from highly structured to unstructured or in-depth methods, and including both individual and group interviews;
- *questionnaire*, including pen and paper, and electronic forms; and
- *content analysis* of secondary sources of many kinds.

As there are countless texts available that deal with data collection methods, they are not dealt with in this book.

The research design needs to specify clearly the method or methods to be used to collect the data. It is extremely important in quantitative research to decide, before the research begins, how the data are to be collected and to do all that is necessary to prepare for this. This may seem obvious, but it is not always taken seriously. Just muddling through will not do. The same is true for many qualitative studies, although there will be exceptions where some of these decisions may have to be made as the research proceeds. However, as I have argued earlier, this should not be used as an excuse for avoiding careful planning.

If quantitative data are to be collected using an existing measuring instrument, its source should be stated and a copy should be attached. If a measuring instrument needs to be developed, such as an attitude scale or a questionnaire, the process by which this will occur, including any pre-testing and piloting of the instrument, needs to be outlined and justified. In the case of qualitative data, it is important to indicate what method or methods will be used to generate and record them, and to state why these are considered to be the most appropriate.

The time(s) at which data are collected is a critical element in a research design.

Data can be collected at these points or be planned for whether the study is cross-sectional or historical. Expectations of time needs to be stated.

Data reduction and analysis

The final core of the methods to be used to transform raw data into a form suitable for transforming, organizing, coding, or recording of the latter would be the categories that have been selected, the procedures involved in collecting into a common established format.

There is an abundance of appropriate databases here are who you can find out the expense can be reduced in the case of keying in the kinds of qualitative in-depth interviews estimated that a three hours to obtain.

Finally, we can design decisions on the method of data to be used, depends on the types of cases being tested.

The quantitative analysis are decisions, for established variables. They are from a probability. For each of these is available, how used to collect the data of analysis can be words rather than

Data can be collected at one point in time or at a series of points over time. One of these points can be the present time, while others may have occurred in the past or be planned for some time in the future. Decisions about timing will determine whether the study is cross-sectional or longitudinal, retrospective or prospective, or historical. Experimental research also involves the collection of data at different times. Hence, the role that the timing of data collection will play in the project needs to be stated.

Data reduction and analysis

The final core element of a research design is the specification and justification of the methods to be used to reduce and analyse the data. Methods of data reduction transform raw data into a form in which they can be analysed. This may involve transforming qualitative data into quantitative data by some form of numerical coding, or re-coding existing numerical data into different categories. An example of the latter would be reducing the number of categories to be used, and/or reordering the categories. Coding may also be used to organize and simplify data that have been collected in the quantitative form, for example, by the creation of indexes, scales, factors or clusters. Alternatively, when qualitative data are collected, the processes of reduction and analysis may be integrated with data collection into a continuous and evolving process of theory construction. This will involve establishing categories and doing various kinds of coding.

There is another important stage between data reduction and analysis. Data have to be organized in such a way that they can be transferred into an appropriate database for manipulation by computer. The relevant design decisions here are who will do this and how the cost will be covered. Significant time and expense can be involved in this process. With quantitative data, it is usually a case of keying in responses to a questionnaire or structured interview. With some kinds of qualitative data, it may be necessary to transcribe cassette recordings of in-depth interviews and then format these for entry into a database. It has been estimated that an experienced transcriber, with clear recordings, will take at least three hours to do one hour of recorded interview.

Finally, we come to the choice of methods for analysing the data. If all the other design decisions have been made carefully and consistently, the decisions about the method of analysis should be straightforward. A variety of methods may need to be used, depending on the type of research questions, whether or not hypotheses being tested, and the type of data.

The quantitative/qualitative distinction is most evident when techniques of data analysis are discussed. Quantitative methods can be used for producing descriptions, for establishing associations, and, possibly, causal relationships between variables. They can also be used for making inferences from the results produced from a probability sample to the population from which the sample was drawn. For each of these aspects of quantitative analysis, an array of statistical techniques is available. Just which one is appropriate will depend on the level of measurement used to collect the data, and perhaps the size of the sample. Qualitative methods of analysis can also be used for description at various levels of abstraction (in words rather than numbers), and, more particularly, for theory generation. A

number of techniques are now available for the latter analysis. When data are in the form of text, the methods generally deal with creating categories, indexing or coding documents, sorting data to locate patterns, describing the patterns, generating theories from the data, and validating these theories. For both qualitative and quantitative analysis, appropriate software packages, as well as suitable hardware, need to be identified and their availability confirmed.

I have observed a tendency in many research designs to discuss methods of data collection but to ignore both data reduction and data analysis techniques. At best, a computer program might be mentioned, but just how the data are to be prepared for entry into a database, and what manipulations will be undertaken to relate the data to the research questions, are often not mentioned.

Each method of data reduction and analysis selected should be identified, briefly described and its use justified. The important point is that the decision on methods of analysis needs to be made in conjunction with many other research design decisions, and before the research commences. It can be fatal to wait until after the data have been collected. Not only do the methods of analysis need to be appropriate for the research questions, and also hypotheses if they are being used, but they also need to match the type of data. Hence, a critical issue in research design is to achieve consistency between the type and form of the data, the source of the data, its selection, and the methods of collection, reduction and analysis. The possible combination of choices here can be overwhelming and should be given very careful attention. Finally, the choice of all these methods must make it possible to answer the research questions (see chapter 7).

Problems and limitations

An important step in the preparation of a research design is to stand back and evaluate it. First, it is useful to state what problems are likely to be encountered and how they will be handled. These will include both practical and theoretical problems, such as getting the co-operation of respondents, or knowing what further case studies will be required after the first one. The problems listed here should only be those that cannot be resolved at the design stage. However, getting permission to use a list of names and addresses, or getting access to the research site, are matters that cannot be left to chance after the research commences.

Secondly, it is a good idea for the researcher to make an explicit assessment of the particular strengths and weaknesses of the research design. Research projects usually have their secure and predictable aspects as well as their less secure and uncertain parts. In addition, those parts of the design that require further development as the research proceeds can be identified. If this assessment is done conscientiously, the researcher should be in a position to anticipate possible problems before they arise, rather than inadvertently ending up down a blind alley or falling in a great hole.

Some researchers seem to be reluctant to expose the weakness of their research design for fear that their work will be judged as being inadequate. The reverse is in fact the case. Lack of awareness of both the strengths and weaknesses of a research design can be interpreted as indicating a shallow understanding of research.

While *research* researcher, *the* various contexts of the should communicate studied, and less detail than receive close for students of their supervisor feedback is no recommendation such an exam

Research for persuade a funding that are set up of the research details and the completed with a rather different ethics approval required.

As the requirements considerably be made here areas that non-sections are given a different

Where sections shown. All of

Title

Research problem

Aims and significance

Research project is intended to

- the development
- the collection
- the development
- knowledge
- policy and

Research Proposals

While *research designs* are usually seen by only a few people close to the researcher, *research proposals* are public documents and may be presented in various contexts. Their purpose is to ensure that the research meets the requirements of the discipline and/or the institution in which it will be undertaken. It should communicate clearly and concisely what is to be studied, why it is being studied, and how the research will be conducted. This will normally require less detail than goes into a research design. However, these details are likely to receive close scrutiny. In the case of university research, it is also an opportunity for students to receive some feedback and advice from a wider audience than their supervisor(s)/adviser(s), for example, by a seminar presentation. While this feedback is not always sympathetic, and may produce confusing and conflicting recommendations, it is nevertheless very useful for a project to be subjected to such an examination before it proceeds.

Research funding proposals have different purposes. They are designed to persuade a funding body that the project is worthy of financial support. Committees that are set up for such purposes will look closely at the aims and justification of the research, at whether the design is sensible and feasible, at the budget details and the justification for each item, and at whether the project can be completed with the available resources and in the time allocated. In short, this is a rather different audience to the one involved in obtaining academic or research ethics approval. Hence more than one version of a research proposal may be required.

As the requirements for these and other versions of a research proposal vary considerably in terms of their purpose and local requirements, no attempt will be made here to provide models for each type. Rather, I shall simply identify the areas that normally need to be covered in most types of research proposals. Some sections are common to both research designs and research proposals, but may be given a different emphasis, while others are specific to only one version.

Where sections are shared by both designs and proposals, only the headings are shown. All or most of the following sections are typical of research proposals.

Title

Research problem

Aims and significance

Research proposals usually include a statement on what contribution the research is intended to make, and this will include one or more of the following:

- the development of a particular area of theory or methodology;
- the collection or accumulation of a new body of information or data;
- the development of research methods or techniques;
- knowledge about or understanding of an issue or problem; and/or
- policy and practice in a particular area.²

These should be stated in a manner that will make it possible to assess whether, or to what extent, they have been achieved at the end of the research.

The statement of aims is normally accompanied by some justification for pursuing them, i.e. why the topic is worth studying. All social research requires the use of resources, even if it is just the researcher's own time. In the context of postgraduate research, students may need to pay fees, and the university will devote considerable resources to supporting such students. As research resources are scarce, their allocation needs to be husbanded. Funding bodies will certainly want to know what contributions a study is likely to make. This is not to suggest that all research must be able to make immediate contributions to areas of priority established by public and/or private interests. However, good reasons for doing it should be articulated, even if it is just to satisfy the researcher's curiosity.

Background

Some versions of research proposals will normally require a discussion of how the research problem has arisen, who views it as a problem, evidence for its existence, the context in which it occurs and who are the stakeholders. In more theoretical research, it may be necessary to specify where the gap in knowledge exists and why it needs to be filled. In short, a concise review of some of the literature will connect the proposed project with the existing state of knowledge. In my experience, there is a tendency among postgraduate students to devote most of their proposal to a review of the literature. This may include erudite discussions of the ideas of a favourite or fashionable theorist. However, the connection between such discussions and the research project are often not very clear. Therefore, this version of the literature review, unlike that in the thesis itself, should be very concise, although its length will no doubt depend on the type of research being planned and local requirements.

Research plan and methods

The main aim of this section is to communicate to experts in the field, as well as lay audiences, what is to be studied and how the research will be conducted. This needs to include:

- a statement of the research questions;
- reference to theory and hypotheses (if appropriate); and
- an elaboration of the source of data;
- how they will be selected;
- how they will be collected; and
- how they will be analysed.

Whether or not reference is made to the choice of research strategies and paradigms will depend on who the audiences are and a judgement as to whether they will, or will need to, understand the nature and significance of logics of enquiry in social research.

The research design is invaluable for the preparation of this section of the

proposal. It is
ing them for th

In some typ
ments will be
suitable scale
might be adap
be pre- tested
Similarly, prop
stated.

Budget

Regardless of
budget is norm
be spent. Expe
are expected o
for a research
following hea

- *personnel* (t
view transac
- *equipment* (t
ing equipme
- *maintenance*
to copying, s
of reports)
- *travel and*
- *publication*
graphics, co

Justification of

Applications t
and the amou
budget items t
their relative
equipment (e.g.
(e.g. person
project, these
that some coa
covered by the

Budget item
200 interview
of these is no
for the interv
that the flaws
usually be spe

to assess whether, research.

ification for pursu- ch requires the use ne context of post- iversity will devote arch resources are will certainly want not to suggest that o areas of priority reasons for doing it s curiosity.

discussion of how the ce for its existence, In more theoretical knowledge exists and f the literature will edge. In my experi- volve most of their e discussions of the onnection between ear. Therefore, this elf, should be very e of research being

the field, as well as be conducted. This

strategies and para- as to whether they f logics of enquiry

this section of the

proposal. If research design decisions have been made carefully, then summarizing them for the research proposal should be straightforward.

In some types of research it may be necessary to discuss how research instruments will be developed. For example, if an attitude scale is required, and if no suitable scale is available, an explanation should be given as to how existing scales might be adapted and supplemented, or a new one constructed, and how it will be pre-tested and/or post-tested for unidimensionality or multi-dimensionality. Similarly, proposed pilot studies should be outlined and their purposes clearly stated.

Budget

Regardless of whether the project is receiving support from a funding agency, a budget is normally required to indicate what funds are needed and how they will be spent. Even in postgraduate research it is useful to anticipate what the costs are expected to be and how they will be covered. In the case where an application for a research grant is to be made, a detailed budget is normally required. The following headings are standard:

- *personnel* (e.g. research assistance, interviewers, coders, data analysts, interview transcribers);
- *equipment* (e.g. major items such as computing, audio recording and transcribing equipment);
- *maintenance* (day-to-day running expenses such as stationery, telephone, photocopying, data storage discs, audio cassettes, interlibrary loans and purchase of reports);
- *travel and subsistence* (to research sites, for interviewing, or to libraries); and
- *publication and presentation expenses* (preparation of the report, printing, graphics, conference attendance, etc.).

Justification of the budget

Applications for research grants normally require justification for the need for and the amount of each item in the budget. Some research funders require the budget items to be prioritized, thus forcing the researcher to be very clear about their relative importance for the successful completion of the project. Where equipment (e.g. computers, software and cassette recorders) and other resources (e.g. personnel for data entry) are already available, and can be used on the project, these should be noted. Bodies that fund academic research usually expect that some costs (e.g. office space and furniture, and some basic equipment) will be covered by the university or research centre in which the researcher is located.

Budget items need to match the details of the research design. For example, if 200 interviews are to be conducted in dispersed locations, then realistic costing of these is necessary, in terms of equipment and consumables, interviewers' time for the interviews and travel to the sites, as well as the cost of travelling. It is here that the flaws in the research design and planning can become evident and will usually be spotted.

Timetable

In order to ensure that a research project is manageable and doable, it is useful to plan the duration of each of the components and stages within the time-frame allocated to it. The major components commonly include the following:

- preparation of the research design;
- review of the literature;
- selection of data sources (including sampling);
- development of the research instruments;
- collection of the data;
- analysis of the data; and
- writing the thesis/report.

Depending on the nature of the research, these components may need to be modified. In the case of research grants, the literature review and research design stages are assumed to have been completed before the application is prepared. However, they may apply in those universities that require a detailed research proposal to be prepared before a candidate is accepted into the programme. Where the development of the research design follows acceptance for candidature, it is desirable to include all stages, even if retrospectively, as the clock will have been running since the time of acceptance.

These components can overlap in time (e.g. the research design and literature review, or data collection and analysis), and some may occur at more than one time (e.g. the literature review) or extend over much of the life of the project (e.g. writing drafts). While it is difficult to be precise about how long each component will take, a realistic estimate should be made. This will help to reveal whether the project as planned can be completed within the time limits, and whether the workload is manageable in each time period. It is useful to do this diagrammatically in terms of a time line for each component.

One component that is usually underestimated is the writing. A good thesis needs many drafts (at least three in my opinion). If insufficient time is allowed for redrafting at the end, a poor product is likely to be the result. Writing can easily take between a third and a half of the total time, and will certainly take at least a quarter.

Some research funding bodies require the specification of definite 'milestones' so that progress can be checked. In these cases, the anticipated completion date for the major research stages (e.g. data collection) would need to be stated. If a project runs over an extended period, for example, two or three years, progress reports may be required at regular intervals. The work actually completed by a particular date can then be compared with the anticipated date in the proposal. Discrepancies may need to be satisfactorily accounted for if funding is to continue. I believe the same practice is desirable in postgraduate research programmes.

Expected outcomes or benefits

It has become a common practice, particularly in publicly funded research, for the anticipated benefits to be stated.³ Traditionally, academic research has been

about the pursuit of real benefits, harmonious economic rationality, reasonably directed, or private sector, the research has to have their agree-

Ethical issues

Most social research in social life. The questions could practice for the explicit, together conducted with be mandatory. Professional as now usually la abide by the as have codes of the UK provid esrc.ac.uk/ESR Most textbook ethics.

The followi

- *Voluntary* p involved, an withdraw at
- *Obtaining* o participants used, what v
- *Protecting* t privacy mos the confid o
- *Researching* conducted a deception o

The Social Res by specifying t and employees, ethics03.pdf) in the lives of individuals and ability, compo

about the pursuit of knowledge, which means that in some types of research the real benefits have to be left to posterity to determine. However, in this age of economic rationalism, even academic research may be expected to make some reasonably direct and useful contribution to some field of high priority in the public or private sectors. In the case of applied and policy research, someone other than the researcher may determine the expected outcome. If research funding bodies have their agenda and priorities, an application would need to address these.

Ethical issues

Most social research involves intervention in some aspects of individual and social life. There is always a risk that even asking someone quite innocent questions could be disturbing to that person. It has therefore become normal practice for the ethical implications of a social research project to be made explicit, together with the procedures to be used to deal with them. For research conducted within universities and independent research organizations, it may be mandatory to seek the approval of a relevant human ethics committee. Professional associations in the social sciences, both national and international, now usually have a code of ethics. Members of such associations are expected to abide by the association's code. In some countries, national research bodies also have codes of ethics. For example, the Economic and Social Research Council in the UK provides clear ethical guidelines to applicants for research funds (www.esrc.ac.uk/ESRCInfoCentre/Images/ESRC_Re_Ethics_Frame_tcm6-11291.pdf). Most textbooks on social research methods now have a chapter on research ethics.

The following points are usually included in codes of ethics.

- *Voluntary participation.* Research participants cannot be required to be involved, and, if they agree to, they must know that they have the right to withdraw at any time.
- *Obtaining informed consent of research participants.* This involves informing participants of the nature and purpose of the research, the methods that will be used, what will be required of them, and how the results will be used.
- *Protecting the interests of the research participants.* The research participants' privacy must be protected by ensuring that their anonymity is preserved and the confidentiality of the data guaranteed.
- *Researching with integrity.* The researcher must ensure that the research is conducted according to acceptable standards of practice and without fraud, deception or dishonesty.

The Social Research Association in the UK has taken a broad view of codes of ethics by specifying four sets of obligations for social researchers: to society, to funders and employers, to colleagues, and to subjects (www.the-sra.org.uk/documents/pdfs/ethics03.pdf). Their statement also emphasizes the need to avoid undue intrusion in the lives of research participants and to enable the participation in research of individuals and groups who might be excluded for reasons of communication, disability, comprehension or expense.

It is important to note that ethical issues are not the same as the practical problems that the researcher expects to encounter.⁴ The latter are dealt with in the next section. However, finding appropriate ways of dealing with the ethical aspects of a project can create practical problems. For example, the need to inform potential interviewees about the nature of a project may increase the refusal rate, and, hence, threaten the researcher's ability to produce useful results.

Scope and limitations

While the scope of a research project should be clear from the research plan and methods, a common requirement for research proposals is to state clearly both what is and what is not included, particularly as far as data sources and selection is concerned. Decisions on these latter matters have a large bearing on how widely the findings can be applied. Compromises inevitably have to be made in order to work within a budget and other resource constraints, and these place limits on the possible use of the findings. While it may not be appropriate to spell out all the problems and limitations that go into the research design, some reference to them may be necessary.

Communicating the findings

It has been argued that one criterion scientific research should satisfy is that it should be made public. It can be argued that researchers have a responsibility to communicate their findings to people who can benefit from them. How this is to be done should be considered as part of the research proposal. Research funding administrators may be keen to know how the researcher plans to do this, and they may be willing to cover or contribute to the costs.

The onus is also on thesis writers to consider ways of making the results of their research known to a wider audience than just those who read theses in university libraries. Following the completion of a thesis, some universities have a requirement that students make a public presentation to the university community and, perhaps, selected outside guests. Of course, traditional methods include conference presentations, journal articles and books. Some research lends itself to reporting in the media, such as newspapers and magazines. Now there are other possibilities in this electronic age.

Some kinds of research, such as applied, commissioned or sponsored research, may have more limited, in some cases even restricted, audiences. However, applied researchers might want to insist on retaining the right to publish at least some of the findings themselves before accepting such commissions.

Conclusion

Having proposed possible structures and content for *research designs* and *research proposals*, the next task is to find out how to prepare them. For the remainder of the book, I shall concentrate exclusively on the preparation of research designs. To set the scene, figure 1.1 presents the core elements and their component

Explore
Describe
Explain
Understand
Predict
Change
Evaluate
Assess impact

Top-down
Bottom-up

Shallow results
Conceptual results
Cautious results
Depth results
Idealist
Subtle results

Primary
Secondary
Tertiary

Qualitative
Quantitative

Figure 1.1




Figure 1.1 Elements of a social research design

s the practical prob-
ealt with in the next
he ethical aspects of
ed to inform poten-
he refusal rate, and,
ts.

e research plan and
o state clearly both
ources and selection
ring on how widely
be made in order to
ese place limits on
riate to spell out all
, some reference to

ould satisfy is that it
e a responsibility to
em. How this is to
l. Research funding
to do this, and they

aking the results of
who read theses in
ne universities have
university commu-
nal methods include
earch lends itself to
ow there are other

sponsored research,
diences. However,
t to publish at least
ssions.

esigns and research
or the remainder of
of research designs.
d their component

choices. While many features of the elements and the choices have already been introduced, the components of the figure will now be elaborated step by step in the following chapters.

It is important to note that the connections between the elements of a research design (the centre column in figure 1.1) are shown with double-headed arrows to indicate that the design process is not linear and is bound to involve movement in both directions. In fact, the figure could have included many more such arrows linking all the core components with each other. While this would have more faithfully represented the iterative nature of the processes of research design, it would have turned the figure into an unintelligible spaghetti of connecting lines.

Further Reading

Punch, K. F. 2006. *Developing Effective Research Proposals*.

Provides a more detailed coverage of the preparation of research *proposals* than is intended in this chapter. While there is considerable overlap, the author takes a different approach in some areas.

Kelly, M. 2004. 'Research design and proposals.'

An overview of the structure and content requirements of research proposals.

- The research design process is iterative and non-linear.
- However, many research designs are not iterative and non-linear.
- Designing research proposals is a complex task.
- Each with a different set of possible research questions.
- The basic and fundamental elements of the research proposal.
- While a research proposal is a critical element of the research process, it does not guarantee a successful outcome.
- The preparation of a research proposal should proceed in a systematic and iterative manner.
- While statements of research objectives will no doubt be included in the research proposal, they are not the only elements.
- An important part of the research proposal is the research plan.
- Various statements of research objectives and logical baggage.
- It is essential to state the assumptions and assumptions of the research design, in particular.

2

Designing Social Research

Chapter Summary

- The research methods literature abounds with ideas on what constitutes a research design.
- However, many of these ideas are unhelpful as they:
 - deal with limited aspects of a research design;
 - are not mutually exclusive; and/or
 - are not comparable.
- Designing research involves giving consideration to a range of core elements, each with a number of choices, combinations of which lead to a wide variety of possible research designs.
- The basic aim in designing social research is to achieve maximum control over the research process.
- While a researcher's ability to achieve control will vary according to the nature of critical elements in the design, careful planning before the research commences makes it possible to evaluate the suitability and compatibility of the combination of decisions that need to be made; this will help to ensure a successful outcome.
- The preparation of a research design can start with different elements and proceed in a variety of sequences.
- While statements of the topic and research problem need to be produced, they will no doubt be reviewed and possibly modified as the research design evolves and the research itself proceeds.
- An important issue for all researchers is how to regard their relationship with the research participants.
- Various stances are possible, each with its particular ontological and epistemological baggage.
- It is essential to maintain consistency between the stance adopted and the assumptions entailed in the choices made about the research design elements, in particular, the research strategy and the methods of data collection.

Introduction

Social research is the use of controlled enquiry to locate, describe, understand, explain, evaluate and change patterns or regularities in social life. This control is achieved through a series of decisions that are made before the research commences and other decisions that may need to be made in the course of the research. This is not to suggest that complete control of all aspects of the research process is always possible. All eventualities cannot be anticipated, and, in some areas of research, control may be very difficult to achieve. For example, some methods of data collection, such as participant observation, are very unpredictable in terms of how they will develop and where they will take the researcher. However, there are many aspects of research that can and should be planned in advance. The aim is to achieve maximum control over all aspects, where possible.

The main reasons for designing research before it commences are to:

- make the research design decisions explicit;
- ensure that the decisions are consistent with each other and with the ontological assumptions adopted; and
- allow for critical evaluation of the individual design elements, and the overall research design, before significant research work commences.

Without such an overall plan, social enquiry cannot be controlled and the possibility of a successful outcome is severely jeopardized.

This chapter:

- sets the scene for what will follow in the later chapters;
- critically evaluates the common views and classifications of research design in the social sciences;
- presents an alternative view;
- discusses the fundamental requirements of a research design;
- provides an overview of the range of core elements of a research design;
- outlines the choices available for each element;
- reviews possible influences on these choices; and
- discusses the first steps in preparing a research design.

The subsequent chapters deal with the major research design decisions in detail.

Common Views of Research Design

The concept of 'research design' has a range of meanings, from narrow to broad. At the narrow extreme is the experiment, the type of design against which most other designs are regarded as compromises. Concern focuses on how to ensure that an experiment is capable of answering a particular 'why' research question, such that the effect of an independent variable, which is manipulated, can be assumed to be responsible for the observed changes in a dependent variable, the outcome. The design should rule out the possibility that some other features of

the experiment decisions are a illustration of the to be used.

This approach Texts on res mental method and Bremner 2 Four criteria control, temp and temporal at least one of The experimen either by mat ing individuals Analysis of ch pre-test and po group. Reprea the experimen wider populat

An example found in Kerth

Research des as to obtain the overall investigator w to the find w the outline, th draw diagram build structur as used here, to gather and objectives wil tackled. (197

As Lincoln an requires the fo

- the overall p
- variables to
- expected rel
- methods for
- modes of da

They go on to and that a mo

the experimental situation can confound the independent variable. These design decisions are about the selection of experimental and control groups, the administration of the observations or measurements, and the type of statistical analysis to be used.

This approach to research design is very common in mainstream psychology. Texts on research methods frequently include a number of chapters on experimental methods (see e.g. Labovitz and Hagedorn 1976; Christensen 1988; Davis and Bremner 2006; Elmes *et al.* 2006; Shaughnessy *et al.* 2006; Goodwin 2008). Four criteria are commonly used to evaluate this type of research design: spatial control, temporal control, analysis of changes and representativeness. Spatial and temporal control is achieved by the use of one or more control groups in at least one of which the individuals do not receive the experimental treatment. The experimental and control groups can be made roughly equal in composition either by matching individuals in terms of relevant characteristics, or by assigning individuals to the experimental and control group by a random procedure. Analysis of change is achieved by comparing the individual responses in the pre-test and post-test groups, rather than the overall or average change for the group. Representativeness refers to the need to allocate individuals randomly to the experimental group if it is intended that the results are to be generalized to a wider population (Labovitz and Hagedorn 1976: 56–60).

An example of a broader but very conventional view of research design can be found in Kerlinger and Pedhazur (1973).

Research design is the plan, structure, and strategy of investigation conceived so as to obtain answers to research questions and to control variance. The *plan* is the overall scheme or program of the research. It includes an outline of what the investigator will do from writing the hypotheses and their operational implications to the final analysis of the data. The *structure* of the research is more specific. It is the outline, the scheme, the paradigm of the operation of the variables. When we draw diagrams that outline the variables and their relation and juxtaposition, we build structural schemes for accomplishing operational research purposes. *Strategy*, as used here, is also more specific than plan. It includes the methods to be used to gather and analyse the data. In other words, strategy implies *how* the research objectives will be reached and *how* the problems encountered in the research will be tackled. (1973: 300)¹

As Lincoln and Guba (1985) have pointed out, this view of research design requires the following to be spelt out before the research begins:

- the overall plan of the study;
- variables to be included;
- expected relationships between these variables (hypotheses);
- methods for data collection; and
- modes of data analysis.

They go on to suggest that this conventional view is narrower than it needs to be and that a more elaborate set of requirements are commonly used.

- State the problem, including justification for researching it and the objectives to be achieved.
- Outline the theoretical perspective.
- Indicate the procedures to be employed: sampling; instrumentation (operational definitions of the variables); data-analytic procedures (statistical tests to be used to test the hypotheses or answer the research questions).
- Establish a time schedule and 'milestones' to monitor progress.
- Designate agents who will undertake the various steps and tasks in the research.
- Provide a budget; give estimates of resources needed (time, people, funds).
- Indicate the expected end product(s): what the report will look like, including 'dummy tables'; and when the report will be available.

Research design requirements such as these have served countless research projects very well as a disciplined starting-point. However, some styles of research cannot be planned as precisely as this at the outset. Much of the information that is needed to make these decisions will not be known until the research has been in progress for some time. In addition, some of these requirements may not be relevant to certain styles of social research (e.g. specifying variables and their measurement, and using statistical tests). In the context of what they have called *naturalistic inquiry*, and what is more frequently referred to as qualitative research, Lincoln and Guba (1985: 224–5) have outlined why it may not be possible to meet these requirements in qualitative research.

- The focus of the study may change.
- Theory emerges in the course of the research rather than being stated at the beginning.
- Sampling serves different purposes; some samples need not be representative for the purposes of generalizing, but are concerned with the scope and range of information.
- Instrumentation is not about operational definitions but is a 'sensitive homing device that sorts out salient elements and targets in on them' (Lincoln and Guba 1985: 224).
- As the focus of the study changes, so do the procedures.
- Data analysis is open-ended and inductive rather than focused and deductive.²
- Statistical manipulations may have no relevance; the task is to 'make sense' of the data and to search for understanding.
- Timing cannot be predicted in advance because of the emergent nature of this kind of research.
- It is difficult to specify budgets precisely for the same reason.
- End products are difficult to specify, as the course of the research is unpredictable. All that can be said is that 'understanding will be increased'.

In short, 'the design of a naturalistic inquiry (whether research, evaluation, or policy analysis) *cannot* be given in advance; it must emerge, develop, unfold' (Lincoln and Guba 1985: 225).

Here, then, are three views of research design: the controlled experiment;

the planned line
the development
experiments, so
approaches to re

- few social sci
- many social sci
- some extreme
- and Guba hav

The critical instu
ments of the tea
ered in an attemp
decisions on the s
While the flexib
attractive, most
has to meet dead
it is necessary to
from time to tim

The important
addressed by de Va
as possible. '[W]
question (or the c
test the theory) o
function of reser

This position
Research design
and research cor

Colloquially, a
here may be del
set of conclusio
found a number
(Yin 2003a: 20)

Comm

Textbooks on a
designs to a few
to each one. He

- Experiments
- Social surveys
- Field work/c

the planned linear stages based on a very quantitative view of research; and the developmental process characteristic of much qualitative research. In short, *experiments*, *social surveys*, and ethnographic or *field research* are all legitimate approaches to research design. However:

- few social scientists use experiments, mainly because they are either inappropriate or impossible to set up;
- many social scientists use the conventional linear approach to research design even when it is not appropriate; and
- some extreme types of naturalistic research may be as unpredictable as Lincoln and Guba have suggested.

The critical issue here is that the approach to research has to match the requirements of the research questions posed. Many design elements have to be considered in an attempt to answer these questions. As a wide variety of combinations of decisions on these elements are possible, there are many kinds of research designs. While the flexibility of a developmental approach to research design may be attractive, most research, particularly that conducted by postgraduate students, has to meet deadlines and needs some assurance of a useful outcome. Therefore, it is necessary to plan as carefully as possible at the outset, and to review the plan from time to time, as changes may be needed.

The importance of research design in answering research questions has been stressed by de Vaus in his concern with obtaining evidence that is as unambiguous as possible. '[W]hen designing social research we need to ask: given this research question (or theory), what type of evidence is needed to answer the question (or test the theory) *in a convincing way*?' (de Vaus 2001: 9). Here, then, is the primary function of research design.

This position has been expressed in a more general way by Yin (2003a). *Research design* refers to the process that links research questions, empirical data, and research conclusions.

Colloquially, a research design is *a logical plan for getting from here to there*, where *here* may be defined as the initial set of questions to be answered, and *there* is some set of conclusions (answers) about these questions. Between 'here' and 'there' may be found a number of major steps, including the collection and analysis of relevant data. (Yin 2003a: 20)

Common Classifications of Research Designs

Textbooks on social research methods and research design have reduced research designs to a few common types and usually devote a chapter or significant section to each one. Here is a list of common types.

- Experiments
- Social surveys
- Field work/ethnography

- Longitudinal study
- Cross-sectional study
- Case study
- Comparative/historical
- Secondary analysis
- Action research
- Evaluation research
- Impact assessment

(See, for example, Denzin 1970; Labovitz and Hagedorn 1976; Smith 1981; Chadwick *et al.* 1984; Sedlack and Stanley 1992; Bailey 1994; Hakim 2000; de Vaus 2001, 2006; Blaxter *et al.* 2002; Yin 2003a; Bell 2005; Sarantakos 2005; Neuman 2006; Bryman 2008.)

Many textbooks and book chapters confine their attention to only one of these designs. For example: **experiments** (e.g. Campbell and Stanley 1963a, 1963b; Aronson and Carlsmith 1968; Davis and Bremner 2006); **social surveys** (e.g. Rosenberg 1968; Moser and Kalton 1971; Marsh 1982; de Vaus 2002; Babbie 2004; de Leeuw *et al.* 2008; Fowler 2009); **field research or ethnography** (e.g. Burgess 1982a, 1984; Atkinson 1990; Atkinson *et al.* 2001; Hammersley and Atkinson 2007); **case study** (e.g. Gomm *et al.* 2000a; Yin 2003a, 2003b; Stake 2005; David 2006); **action research** (e.g. Winter 1987, 1989; Whyte 1991; Costello 2003; Cooke and Cox 2005; Kemmis and McTaggart 2005; McNiff and Whithead 2006; Whitehead and McNiff 2006; Somekh 2006; Stringer 2007; Reason and Bradbury 2008; McIntyre 2008; Schmuck 2009); **evaluation research** (Campbell and Stanley 1963a; Cronbach 1963, 1982; Weiss 1972, 1976; Cook and Campbell 1979; Weiss and Bucuvalas 1980; Rossi and Freeman 1985; Guba and Lincoln 1989; Pawson and Tilley 1994, 1997; Stern 2005; Pawson 2006); **impact assessment** (e.g. Wathern 1988; Vanclay and Bronstein 1995; Becker 1997).

Some classifications make a division between experimental, quasi-experimental and non-experimental designs. The latter include social surveys, sometimes referred to as *correlational* designs because they, unlike experiments, cannot establish causation.³ De Vaus (2001, 2006) has expanded the four commonest types (experiment, longitudinal, cross-sectional and case study) into six types: experimental, panel, retrospective, cross-sectional, comparative/cross-national, and case study.

It has also become a common practice to group these different designs into two broad categories, quantitative and qualitative, with divisions within each category (see e.g. Punch 2005; Neuman 2006), and mixed methods have now been added to these (Creswell 2003, 2009). However, I shall question the usefulness of the quantitative/qualitative dichotomy in this context in chapter 7.

An Alternative View

At best, the concept of research design used in these classifications is very limited and confusing. Of course, social researchers can do surveys and conduct experiments, but surveys are about particular methods of data collection and analysis,

and an experiential secondary analytical research, or approaches to research and analysis of research design.

A rare attempt (1984). They b

- method of de
- primary obje
- impact assess
- time orientat
- whether the
- (primary and
- the degree to
- unobtrusive

This view take

The second example, structural research, a research can be problem is that they do coverables, and the analysing data many choices

A research involves a choice may be more wide variety of wide variety of this reason, I b

Adopting the As a first step design should

In general, a c

WHAT will be WHY will a b HOW will a b

and an experiment is about selecting groups and timing data collection. Similarly, secondary analysis is mainly about sources of data, and ethnography, comparative research, case studies, evaluation research and action research are particular approaches to research that can combine a number of methods of data collection and analysis. Hence, the first problem with these classifications is that each type of research design deals with some elements but none of them deals with them all.

A rare attempt to recognize these difficulties can be found in Chadwick *et al.* (1984). They have classified research designs according to six criteria:

- method of data collection;
- primary objectives (e.g. description, hypothesis testing, evaluation, social impact assessment);
- time orientation (cross-sectional, longitudinal, retrospective);
- whether the data are to be collected to answer a specific research question (primary and secondary); and
- the degree to which the methods impinge on the respondents (obtrusive and unobtrusive).

This view takes us part way to the approach adopted in this book.

The second problem is that the categories are not mutually exclusive. For example, surveys can be used in comparative studies, case studies and evaluation research; and experiments, comparative studies, case studies and evaluation research can use a number of methods of data collection and analysis. The third problem is that the categories are not exhaustive of the aspects of research that they do cover. For example, there are other ways of achieving control over variables, and there are many other sources of data and methods for producing and analysing data than those identified. These conventional categories mask the many choices that need to be considered in preparing a research design.

A research design contains many elements (see figure 1.1) and each element involves a choice from among alternatives. While some combinations of choices may be more common, and others may not be legitimate, potentially, there is a wide variety of possibilities. The resulting combinations of decisions produce a wide variety of actual designs that cannot easily be described by simple labels. For this reason, I do not follow the conventional classifications.

Adopting this approach avoids a ritualistic adherence to recipe book solutions. As a first step in this direction, I will examine in broad outline what any research design should achieve.

Fundamental Requirements

In general, a research design needs to answer three basic questions.

- WHAT will be studied?
- WHY will it be studied?
- HOW will it be studied?

The last question can be broken down into five further questions.

WHAT research strategy will be used?

WHAT ontological and epistemological assumptions will be adopted?

WHERE will the data come from?

HOW will the data be collected and analysed?

WHEN will each stage of the research be carried out?

If these questions are answered satisfactorily, a researcher should be clear about how the research is to proceed. If they are written down in the form of a research proposal, others will be in a position to provide feedback on whether the project is sensible and feasible. In the case of postgraduate research, these others can include supervisors/advisers and academic research committees; for other social research they can include academic research committees, ethics committees, funders, sponsors and potential consumers.

In practice, however, to answer these eight questions, a number of aspects of research have to be addressed and many decisions need to be made. Because of the variety of types of research undertaken in the social sciences, it is not possible to be dogmatic about all the details to be considered in a research design. Nevertheless, some components are relevant to most designs.

Core Elements

I wish to propose a set of core design elements about which choices need to be made (see figure 2.1). Because the elements are connected in complex ways, a choice on one will have consequences for choices on other elements. The research design decisions that novice researchers usually give the most attention are 'data sources' and methods of 'data collection and analysis'. However, before these specific decisions can be made, several others must be considered.

The obvious starting-point in a research design is the statement of the 'research problem' that is to be investigated. Following closely behind is the consideration of two closely related research design elements, the 'research purposes'⁴ and the all-important 'research questions'. The latter provide the focus and direction for the study; they are what the study will attempt to answer. Then follows the selection of a 'research strategy' or strategies that will be used to answer these questions, and the ontological and epistemological assumptions that will be adopted, usually in association with a particular 'research paradigm'. The decisions that are made about the 'research questions', 'research strategies' and 'research paradigms' will have a big influence on the decisions about 'data sources', 'data selection' and methods of 'data collection' and 'data reduction and analysis'.

Associated with these last four design elements are three others, the most fundamental of which concerns the timing of data collection. The role of time in a research design is frequently seen as being its defining characteristic. Two elements are concerned with the 'type of data' and the 'form of data' to be used. Choices related to the former are concerned with the proximity of the researcher




Figure 2.1 Core elements of a social research design

to the phenomenon being studied, and choices in the latter have to do with whether the data will be in words or numbers.

The order in which these core elements are discussed does not follow any particular logic. While the decisions made on the earlier ones may limit the decisions that can be made on the later ones, the process of designing research is spiral or cyclical in nature rather than being a set of linear steps. As the implications of the earlier decisions are explored, they may turn out to be impractical or unachievable. Therefore, the process is likely to require a number of iterations before a consistent and workable set of design decisions can be achieved.

The Ideal and the Practical

As I have argued earlier, all decisions that are concerned with the design of a research project should, if possible, be made before any substantial work has commenced. This is possible in studies conducted on topics that have already been well researched and for which there is adequate background information. Such studies may be the next step in a programme of research that has used well-tried methods and for which appropriate published reports are available. However, some studies may require preliminary or exploratory research to establish an adequate background against which choices can be made. This preliminary work may just involve the examination of statistical data, such as that produced in a census, but it may also require some field work, i.e. some contact with the site and the people who are to be involved in the research. In other studies, it may not be possible to make all the choices before the research commences, either because not enough is known about the field or the social context, or because the nature of the proposed methods requires a developmental process to be adopted. The latter involves the making of choices at the beginning of each stage of the research, based on what was learned in the previous stage. The fact that some kinds of research need to be done in this developmental mode is no excuse for failing to make choices that should and can be made at the outset, regardless of the category into which the project falls.

It is possible for researchers to avoid dealing with these choices if they operate within a research community that consistently adopts a particular research paradigm in a taken-for-granted manner. The need for choices will not be evident because those implicit in the paradigm will be adopted without discussion, or, perhaps, any awareness that choices have been made; the assumptions and methods to be used will be regarded as self-evident. Other researchers may avoid the need to examine critically the range of choices by simply adopting methods with which they are most familiar and comfortable, and designing the research project to use such methods.

Making the choices necessary to design a research project requires careful consideration of many factors, from fundamental philosophical and value positions to technical and practical matters. These choices are interdependent. The choice of research question(s), and the way it/they are worded, places limitations on the choices of research strategy. The choice of a particular research strategy may limit the choice of research methods. The choice of a particular method of data gathering

limits the choice in the early stages of circumstances. Problems with a compromise to other choices. To questionnaire to names and address contact respondent depth interviews data analysis, a different research necessary to go with the obstacle

Having now defined out the range of begin the task and the statement of very early stage

The starting point. A social problem social scientists of intervention needs to be addressed clearly is the first design procedure

In conducting a study, a statement both a hypothesis will follow a path is important to the research. The closely at the core and improve sure just what a number of more precise topic or description of a fact, the final

er have to do with
not follow any par-
y limit the decisions
research is spiral or
e implications of the
actical or unachiev-
f iterations before a
ed.

with the design of a
ubstantial work has
at have already been
ed information. Such
t has used well-tried
available. However,
rch to establish an
is preliminary work
that produced in a
contact with the site
other studies, it may
commences, either
context, or because the
cess to be adopted.

of each stage of the
The fact that some
ode is no excuse for
outset, regardless of

choices if they operate
icular research para-
will not be evident
without discussion,
he assumptions and
searchers may avoid
y adopting methods
signing the research

requires careful con-
and value positions
pendent. The choice
es limitations on the
ch strategy may limit
od of data gathering

limits the choices of methods of data analysis, and so on. However, choices made in the early stages of the research design process may have to be revised in the light of circumstances that require a change in the sample or methods of data collection. Problems with access to people, organizations or other data sources may require compromises from the ideal design, and these compromises require a revision of other choices. For example, a chain of choices may lead to a decision to use a mail questionnaire to gather data. However, if it is discovered that access to the required names and addresses cannot be obtained in order to draw a random sample and contact respondents, then it may be necessary to use snowball sampling, and in-depth interviews. Therefore, it will be necessary to choose a different method of data analysis, and, possibly, to reformulate the research questions and adopt a different research strategy. Hence, before settling on all the choices, it is usually necessary to go through the design decision sequence a few times in order to deal with the obstacles and limitations that are encountered.

Getting Started

Having now defined the fundamental requirements of a research design, and laid out the range of elements that need to be considered, we are now in a position to begin the task of preparing a research design. The selection of a research topic, and the statement of the problem to be investigated, usually constitutes the first or very early steps in setting out on a research project.

Research Topic and Problem

The starting point for social research is a problem, either *social* or *sociological*. A *social* problem is a state of affairs that is judged by someone, for example a social scientist or a policy-maker, to be unsatisfactory and in need of some form of intervention. A *sociological* problem is a puzzle that a social scientist considers needs to be solved, i.e. explained or understood.⁵ Stating the research problem clearly is the first challenge, but it may need to be revised as work on the research design proceeds.

In conjunction with the selection and definition of the problem to be investigated, a statement of the research topic can be undertaken. The topic provides both a signpost and a set of boundary markers: it indicates that the research will follow a specific path; and it defines the territory to be explored. Hence, it is important to state the topic in a way that communicates the general nature of the research. However, while it is useful to try to state the topic clearly and concisely at the outset, it is not uncommon for the initial attempt to be rather vague and imprecise. Until the research design is completed, the researcher cannot be sure just what the project will be like. It may take much thought and reading, a number of trial runs, and even some exploratory research, before a clear and precise topic can be produced. The direction in which the signpost points, and the inscription on it, may change in the course of preparing the research design. In fact, the final version of the topic may not become clear until the time of writing

the report or thesis. Therefore, novice researchers should not be concerned if difficulties are encountered in defining the topic in the early stages. Greater attention should be given to the problem statement.

Here are some examples of research topics.

Environmental Worldviews and Behaviour among Students and Residents.

Age and Environmentalism: a Test of Competing Hypotheses.

Gender Differences in Environmentalism: towards an Explanation.

Motivation for Environmentally Responsible Behaviour: the Case of Environmental Activists.

These topics will be used throughout the book, and, particularly, in chapter 8 where research designs for each one are outlined. They are part of a research programme on environmentalism that I have conducted. (See Appendix for examples of other research problems and topics.)

It is a common mistake to believe that, having stated the problem and defined the topic, the researcher is in a position to commence the project. Even well-formulated problem statements and topics provide very little direction for the design of a project. Something more is required. I argue in chapter 3 that this is achieved mainly by stating one or more research questions.

Influences on the Choice of Topic and Problem

An important aspect of any research project is the reasons why it is to be undertaken. Some social research requires a considerable investment of resources, and, even if this is mainly the researcher's time, justification for doing it is necessary. There are a number of dimensions on which this justification can be made, and these involve motives and goals of various kinds. Most projects will entail several of these. At the same time, there are various factors that can place limitations on the choice of topic.⁶

Motives

In an academic environment, lecturers/professors and students do social research for *personal*, *academic* and *social* reasons. *Personal* reasons include:

- satisfying curiosity;
- seeking credentials and/or pursuing career goals;
- trying to solve a personal problem; and
- pursuing personal interests and commitments.

Academic reasons for undertaking social research centre on making a *contribution to the discipline* or disciplines in which one works. These can include:

- contributing to knowledge in a particular field;
- seeking answers to current intellectual puzzles;

- participatory
- developing a

social research
private sector,
of the society

- contributing
- helping some
- assisting in t
- contributing

An example
earlier will be
be combined
'Behaviour', w
about the link
and behaviour
arise from re
on environme
translated int
and, at the s
situation with
parts of the w
topic, 'Age a
ademic conce
some people
attitudes and
tally reported
this knowledge
elits for the de
grammes and
quality of the
in Environme
of the rather s
tivism. Are w
are the nature
this topic cou
make a contri
'Motivation to
cal puzzle; wh
puzzle is also
pollution, say
essary to und
in those who
behaviour of
sociological p

- participating in intellectual debates; and
- developing social theory.

Social researchers working in any context, be it in a university or in the public or private sectors, may wish to make a *contribution to the society*, or to some sector of the society, in which they are located. These motives may include:

- contributing to the solution of a social problem;
- helping some group, community or organization achieve its goals;
- assisting in the development of social policy; and
- contributing to public or private sector decision-making.

An examination of the motives behind the four sample research topics stated earlier will help to illustrate how *personal*, *academic* and *social* motives can be combined. The first of the research topics, 'Environmental Worldviews and Behaviour', was motivated by a personal curiosity about the kind and level of environmental attitudes and behaviour currently adopted by Australians. This arose from reading some of the American literature on environmental sociology. This curiosity was then translated into a desire to fill a gap in knowledge, and, at the same time, to compare the Australian situation with that in the United States and other parts of the world. The main motive for the second topic, 'Age and Environmentalism', was an academic concern to advance our knowledge of why some people have more favourable environmental attitudes and engage in higher levels of environmentally responsible behaviour than others. Of course, this knowledge could also have some practical benefits for the design of environmental education programmes and for groups and organizations that are committed to improving the quality of the natural and built environments. Topic three, 'Gender Differences in Environmentalism', was motivated by an academic desire to make more sense of the rather confused findings in previous research on gender and environmentalism. Are women more environmentally conscious than men, and, if so, what are the nature and origins of these differences? Again, the results of research on this topic could also benefit environmental education programmes, and, perhaps, make a contribution to the ultimate survival of the human race. The fourth topic, 'Motivation for Environmentally Responsible Behaviour', is essentially a theoretical puzzle: why do some people behave responsibly and others not? However, this puzzle is also related to specific social problems, for example, reducing litter and pollution, saving energy, and conserving non-renewable resources. It may be necessary to understand the motivation for environmentally responsible behaviour in those who practise it in order to know what would be necessary to change the behaviour of others. Hence research that is primarily directed towards solving a *sociological* problem can also assist in the solution of *social* problems.

Influences

Motives

Personal interests and goals
Discipline contribution
Social contribution

The literature

Restrictions

Audiences
Political
Funding bodies
Practical considerations

It is important for researchers to articulate their motives for undertaking a research project, as different motives may require different research design decisions. This articulation will also help to reveal conflicts or inconsistencies in an individual's motives, within a research team, or between the researcher(s) and other stakeholders. It is sensible to resolve these differences before the research commences.

The literature

A major source of influence on the nature and choice of a research topic, particularly in basic or theory-oriented research, is the body of literature on theory and research related to the topic, in both the researcher's discipline and in related disciplines. A research project can be stimulated by the results of previous research and by problems posed by theorists. Even if the topic originates elsewhere, one or other of these bodies of literature is likely to help shape the way the topic and the problem are formulated. Of course, 'the literature' plays other roles in research, as we shall see in due course.

Restrictions

A number of factors can place restrictions on the choice of topic, including: a range of possible *audiences* the researcher has to, or wishes to, take into consideration; the *political* restrictions that may be imposed by authorities such as governments and universities; the types of research that *funding bodies* are willing to support; and practical factors, such as the ability to get access to desired research sites.

Audiences include:

- clients on whose behalf the research is being conducted (whether or not they are paying for it);
- sponsors who are funding the research;
- colleagues;
- scientific communities (particularly the editors of journals);
- employers; and
- potential future sources of funding (Smaling 1994).

Of course, each audience may have different expectations of and different degrees of influence on the design and execution of a research project, let alone what it might find. This is particularly important in the case of applied research as, in contrast to basic research, the researcher may have much less freedom in determining the topic and making other research design decisions. This can certainly occur if the sponsors, the main audience and the major benefactors coincide.

Basic and Applied Research

Motives for undertaking research are associated with the type of research, i.e. whether it is basic or theory-oriented research, or whether it is applied or policy

oriented research. Understanding the motives for undertaking social research (basic or applied research) is closely linked with advancing the research with the development of practical outcomes for practitioners and policy. Frequently, basic research is

Basic and applied researchers are motivated by their own motives and generally have a more practical orientation, rather than more theoretical traditions, detached from other traditions, and so on. It is also important to note that some research is emancipatory and some is not. We shall see this in due course.

For an example, in New Zealand, whether the relationship between the Maori and the German had found others had found in New Zealand. It is clear that the survival of the Maori clearly had no motivation and to count on the Maori inspired by Weibull.

An example of a study conducted by a colleague of mine, close to the Maori, to assist him. Planning flight paths associated with the maximum degree of the flight path they were built up to the legal point. The firm of architects that the study was done from the flight paths built under the flight paths. The planning of the flight paths to draw a line on the flight paths were

oriented research.⁷ The former is concerned with producing knowledge for understanding and the latter with producing knowledge for action. Both types of social research deal with problems: basic research with theoretical problems; and applied research with social or practical problems. *Basic* research is concerned with advancing fundamental knowledge about the social world, in particular, with the development and testing of theories. *Applied* research is concerned with practical outcomes, with trying to solve some practical problem, with helping practitioners accomplish tasks, and with the development and implementation of policy. Frequently, the results of applied research are required immediately, while basic research usually has a longer time-frame.

Basic and applied researchers have different orientations to their work. Basic researchers are more detached and academic in their approach, and tend to have their own motives. Applied researchers are more pragmatic and change-oriented, and generally have to pursue goals set by others. However, the issue of detachment is rather more complex than this simple comparison suggests. In some research traditions, detachment is considered to be necessary to achieve objectivity. In other traditions, it is claimed that detachment and, hence, objectivity is impossible. It is also important to note that the theoretical and/or political commitments of some researchers, for example critical theorists and feminist researchers with emancipatory commitments, can produce basic research from which detachment is absent. We shall come back to these issues later.

For an example of basic research, I draw on a research project conducted in New Zealand in the 1960s (Blaikie 1968, 1969, 1972). I was curious as to whether the relationship between religion and occupation that Weber (1958) had found in Germany about one hundred years earlier, and that Lenski (1961) and others had found in the United States in the early 1960s, was also present in New Zealand. If this relationship did exist, I wanted to know whether it was the result of the survival of the Protestant work ethic in this colonial outpost. This research clearly had no immediate practical value; it was designed to satisfy academic curiosity and to continue a tradition of research in the United States that was largely inspired by Weber's thesis.

An example of applied research comes from a commissioned study I did with some colleagues in the late 1970s. A developer wished to build houses on a site close to the Melbourne airport. He engaged a firm of architects and planners to assist him. Planning restrictions determined how close houses could be built to the flight paths associated with the runways. This restriction was established in terms of maximum decibel readings, and was shown as a line on a map down each side of the flight path. The developer was concerned about his ability to sell houses if they were built close to the flight path. Would purchasers be willing to live right up to the legal planning limit? If not, how close would they be willing to live? The firm of architects and planners engaged us to answer these questions. The study was done by interviewing residents who were living at different intervals from the flight path in an adjoining location, including some whose houses were built under the flight path before the airport was established there, and before the planning restrictions came into force. The developer would have liked us to draw a line on the map for him, but since people's responses to living close to aircraft noise were very varied this was not possible. We found that people differed

considerably in the extent to which they could tolerate aircraft noise and the possible dangers of living close to an airport. Some people appeared to be willing to put up with aircraft noise if the price of the house was attractive. In the end, the developer adopted a conservative position and left some open space adjoining the planning limit.

In the social sciences, research is often a mixture of basic and applied: some stages of a project may have a basic flavour, while other stages may be more applied. For example, a researcher may be commissioned to assist the managers of an organization to change the organization's culture. After undertaking research to describe the existing culture of the organization, the researcher may then proceed to refine and test a particular theory of organizational change. Only when they are satisfied that this theory is relevant to this particular organization will the researcher proceed to engage in some form of action research that helps the members of the organization to bring about the changes desired by management.

Few if any social research projects are exclusively concerned with advancing knowledge for its own sake. While basic researchers may not be interested in the practical benefits, basic research can eventually produce such outcomes. Implicitly or explicitly, most social researchers appear to have some social issue or problem in mind when they undertake research. The fundamental question is whether the researcher chooses to define the problem and the research project, or whether the problem has been defined by someone else, for example, a sponsor who may also have a substantial say in how the project is to be conducted.

However, it is worth noting that in competitively funded basic research there are usually some constraints on research design. Funding bodies not only have expectations about what kinds of research projects are legitimate or important, but they are also likely to have prejudices about what are regarded as appropriate methods for data collection and/or analysis. In order to obtain research funds, prudent researchers need to take these expectations into account in designing a project, or be well prepared to defend less popular methods.

Researcher's Stance

An important choice that all social researchers have to make is what stance to take towards the research process and participants; what the relationship will be between the researcher and the researched. Elsewhere, I have suggested three basic positions a researcher can adopt: outside expert, inside learner and reflective partner or co-scientist (Blaikie 2007). Here, I want to elaborate these into six possible stances.

Researcher's Stance

Detached observer
Empathetic observer
Faithful reporter
Mediator of languages
Reflective partner
Dialogic facilitator

The traditional 'scientific' stance is that of *detached observer*. The researcher is regarded as an uninvolved spectator, particularly during the process of data collection. It is argued that the researcher's values and preferences can threaten the objectivity of the research, and, hence, the value of the results. Therefore, detachment is a

requirement for
rated in spite of

The second p
of objectivity b
themselves in r
ings used by th
referred to as a

This second b
the researcher's
allowing the r
er's task is to p
may have to b
ings. This posit
sociologists of
Denzin (1971),
social phenomen
setting, to des
actions and th
'retains the int
phenomenon
actors can be
postulate of a
derived from a

Each term m
that a human
indicated by
as well as bo
life. (Schutz)

In other word
in the social s
of the social a
the social act
'member choo
However, the

A fourth p
third. In this
everyday, lay
Gadamer (199
interpretation
account base
struction is n
their account
er's interests
duced. Hence
will always b

requirement for producing reliable knowledge. This position is still widely advocated in spite of the many criticisms that have been raised against it.

The second position, the *empathetic observer*, still aims to achieve this kind of objectivity but insists that it is necessary for researchers to be able to place themselves in the social actors' position. Only by grasping the subjective meanings used by the social actors can their actions be understood. This is commonly referred to as *verstehen* (Weber 1964; Outhwaite 1975).

This second position has developed into a third, the *faithful reporter*, in which the researcher's stance is much less detached. The aim is to report a way of life by allowing the research participants to 'speak for themselves'. Thus, the researcher's task is to present the social actors' point of view. To do this, the researcher may have to become immersed in that way of life in order to grasp these meanings. This position is commonly referred to as 'naturalism' and was advocated by sociologists of everyday life (see e.g. Lofland 1967; Blumer 1969; Matza 1969; Denzin 1971; Douglas 1971; and Guba 1978). The researcher is required to study social phenomena in their 'natural' state, to be sensitive to the nature of the social setting, to describe what happens there and how the participants see their own actions and the actions of others. A related requirement is that the researcher 'retains the integrity of the phenomenon'. This means remaining faithful to the phenomenon under investigation by only producing reports in which the social actors can recognize themselves and others. Schütz presented this idea in his *postulate of adequacy*, in which he argued that social scientific concepts must be derived from and remain consistent with lay concepts.

Each term in a scientific model of human action must be constructed in such a way that a human act performed within the life-world by an individual actor in the way indicated by the typical construct would be understandable for the actor himself [*sic*] as well as for his fellow-men [*sic*] in terms of commonsense interpretation of everyday life. (Schütz 1963b: 343)

In other words, if social actors cannot recognize themselves and their colleagues in the social scientist's accounts, then the latter must have produced a distortion of the social actors' world. This process of checking social scientific accounts with the social actors' accounts is sometimes referred to as 'member validation' or 'member checks' and is a major form of validity checking in qualitative research. However, this process is not without its difficulties.

A fourth position, which rejects the idea of detachment, is an extension of the third. In this case, the researcher becomes the *mediator of languages*, between everyday, lay language and social scientific or technical language (Giddens 1976; Gadamer 1989). Studying social life is akin to studying a text, and this involves interpretation on the part of the reader. The researcher actively constructs an account based on the accounts provided by the participants. This process of construction is not neutral; researchers have to invest something of themselves into their account. Social, geographical and historical locations, as well as the researcher's interests and assumptions, have a bearing on the nature of the account produced. Hence, detached objectivity is seen to be impossible as the author's voice will always be present in the researcher's account (Geertz 1988).

A fifth position is associated with critical theory. The researcher is viewed as a *reflective partner* who is committed to the emancipation of the participants from whatever kind of oppression they are experiencing (Habermas 1970, 1972). Following Husserl, Habermas rejected the 'objectivist illusion' of Positivism, according to which the world is conceived as a universe of facts independent of the 'observer' whose task is to describe them. He accepted the same premise as Interpretivism, that social and cultural reality is already pre-interpreted by the participants as a cultural symbolic meaning system, and that these meanings can be changed over time. Therefore, the process of understanding this socially constructed reality is 'dialogic'; it allows individuals to communicate their experiences within a shared framework of cultural meanings. In contrast, the process in the natural sciences is 'monologic'; it is the technical manipulation by the researcher of some aspect of nature. In the latter, the researcher is a 'disengaged observer' who stands in a subject-to-object relationship to the subject-matter while, in the former, the researcher is a 'reflective partner' whose relationship is that of subject to co-participant (Blaikie 2007: 135–6).

Another version of this fifth position is associated with feminist research and involves *conscious partiality*. Again, the concern is with emancipation, in this case of women. Much more than empathy is involved here. The researcher not only participates in women's struggles but is also expected to be changed by them. This view of research involves the conscientization of both the researcher and the researched (Mies 1983: 126). By conscientization is meant learning to perceive social, political and economic contradictions and to take action against oppressive elements of reality (Freire 1970).

The fourth and fifth positions have now culminated in a sixth postmodern view of the role of the researcher. In this case, the researcher is regarded as another actor in the social context being investigated. Rather than being the 'expert', as in the *detached* position, an *empathetic observer*, or a *faithful reporter*, the postmodern researcher takes elements from the positions of *mediator of languages*, *reflective partner*, and *conscientizer*, and seeks to reduce the researcher's authorial influence on the products of the research by allowing a variety of 'voices' to be expressed. These researchers

still rely on their understanding of the situation, but they attempt to minimize their authorial bias by letting the natives speak for themselves as much as possible. The aim is to produce a 'polyphony' of voices rather than a single voice, in order to reduce bias and distortion. (Fontana 1994: 214)

The emphasis is on the dialogue between the researcher and the researched. Hence, this position might be described as *dialogic facilitator*.

Clearly, there are incompatibilities between most of these positions, and there is an extensive literature that debates their relative merits. As we shall see in chapter 4, these positions are associated with the four dominant research strategies used in social research. However, before we leave this discussion here, there is a related concept that needs to be discussed, that of reflexivity.

The notion of *reflexivity* is integral to the ethnomethodologist's views on how social actors make their actions and their social world meaningful to themselves and others. Giddens has incorporated this idea into his structuration theory as the

reflective moment in their social practice involves the ac

The reflexive moment involves the actor not only monitoring same for their contexts in wh

There is a good of everyday social types of social relations social actors on research's co-occurrences. In other words interaction between draw on the social (Giddens 1976)

Recognition of the writings of G as well as among Pavis (1994). Giddens implies that

the orientation including the presents to a social anthropological biography social process

Similarly, Mies features of qualitative process of pr

Qualitative reflexivity. The actions and the scrutiny as the be neutral, or grounding the the very act of activity of col

Further, Mason take, and that w

'reflexive monitoring' that social actors need to engage in to maintain continuity in their social practices. For Giddens, *reflexivity* is more than self-consciousness; it involves the active monitoring of the ongoing flow of social life.

The reflexive monitoring of activity is a chronic feature of everyday action and involves the conduct not just of the individual but also of others. That is to say, actors not only monitor continuously the flow of their activities and expect others to do the same for their own; they also routinely monitor aspects, social and physical, of the contexts in which they move. (Giddens 1984: 5)

There is a growing acceptance of the idea that if reflexivity is an integral part of everyday social practices, then it must also be involved in the 'everyday' activities of social researchers. If the construction and maintenance of social worlds by social actors involves, among other things, reflexive monitoring, then the social researcher's creation of new social scientific knowledge will entail the same processes. In other words, wherever new knowledge is generated through a process of interaction between the researcher and the researched, the social researcher will draw on the same skills that social actors use to make their activities intelligible (Giddens 1976: 157–61).

Recognition of the need for social researchers to be reflexive can be found in the writings of qualitative researchers in general, and ethnographers in particular, as well as among feminist researchers (see Stanley and Wise 1993; Maynard and Purvis 1994). For example, Hammersley and Atkinson have argued that reflexivity implies that

the orientations of researchers will be shaped by their socio-historical locations, including the values and interests that these locations confer upon them. What this represents is a rejection of the idea that social research is, or can be, carried out in some autonomous realm that is insulated from the wider society and from the particular biography of the researcher, in such a way that its findings can be unaffected by social processes and personal characteristics. (Hammersley and Atkinson 2007: 15)

Similarly, Mason (2002) has regarded *active reflexivity* as one of the essential features of qualitative research; researchers need to be active and reflexive in the process of generating data rather than being neutral data collectors.

Qualitative research should involve critical self-scrutiny by the researcher, or *active reflexivity*. This means that the researcher should constantly take stock of their actions and their role in the research process, and subject these to the same critical scrutiny as the rest of their 'data'. This is based on the belief that a researcher cannot be neutral, or objective, or detached, from the knowledge and evidence they are generating. Instead, they should seek to understand their role in that process. Indeed, the very act of asking oneself difficult questions in the research process is part of the activity of reflexivity. (Mason 2002: 7)

Earlier, Mason also argued that 'we should be reflexive about every decision we take, and that we should not take any decisions without actively recognizing that

we are taking them' (1996: 165). Therefore, *reflexivity* applies to the process of designing social research as much as to the research process itself.

The difference between adopting a reflexive stance in research and other possible positions is illustrated in the distinction that Mason has made between the three choices that qualitative researchers have in the way they 'read' their data: literal, interpretive or reflexive.

If you are intending to 'read' your data *literally*, you will be interested in their literal form, content, structure, style, layout, and so on An interpretive reading will involve you in constructing or documenting a version of what you think the data mean or represent, or what you think you can infer from them A reflexive reading will locate you as part of the data you have generated, and will seek to explore your role and perspective in the process of generation and interpretation of data. (Mason 2002: 149)

Recognition of the impossibility of detachment, as well as the reflexive nature of social research, poses some difficult philosophical problems with regard to the status of social scientific knowledge. Part of this dilemma centres on different ideas as to whether objectivity and 'true' knowledge are possible. There seems to be a fear that giving up on the possibility of being an objective researcher means that all social research degenerates into the production of competing 'subjective' accounts, the relative merits of which can only be established by political processes. However, Hammersley and Atkinson have argued that a commitment to reflexivity does not imply 'that research is necessarily political, or that it should be political, in the sense of serving particular political causes or practical ends. For us, the primary goal of research is, and must remain, the production of knowledge' (2007: 15). On the other hand, critical theorists and feminist researchers see commitment to the cause of emancipation as an essential part of all social scientific activity. (See Blaikie 2007 for a brief review of these issues, and Hammersley 1992, Guba and Lincoln 2005, and Hammersley and Atkinson 2007 for discussions relevant to social research.)

I have not included the researcher's stance as a research design element as I suspect that adopting a particular stance is something that occurs independently of the research design. Of course, it is possible that an ideological commitment to a particular stance may have an influence on the research topics that are likely to be entertained, and on other design decisions. Having said this, in my view, reflexivity is not really a matter of choice. All social researchers should be reflexive, regardless of the stance they adopt. However, this will be easier for researchers who reject the detached stance.

We now turn to the first of two critical core design elements, the formulation of research questions.

Further Reading

de Vaus, D. A. 2001. *Research Design in Social Research*.

Adopts a very different approach to research design to the one presented here.

(ed.), 200
A comprehen
of positions
Flick, U. 2007
A brief intro
Marshall, C. 200
Provides pra
qualitative r
Punch, K. F. 20
Silverman, D. 2
A broad cov
and publisho

ies to the process of
tself.

arch and other pos-
s made between the
ey 'read' their data:

rested in their literal
epretive reading will
you think the data
m A reflexive
ed, and will seek to
and interpretation of

s the reflexive nature
ms with regard to the
centres on different
ssible. There seems to
tive researcher means
ompeting 'subjective'
ned by political proc-
hat a commitment to
al, or that it should be
or practical ends. For
production of knowl-
eminist researchers see
part of all social scien-
sues, and Hammersley
nson 2007 for discus-

ch design element as I
t occurs independently
logical commitment to
topics that are likely to
his, in my view, reflex-
ers should be reflexive,
e easier for researchers
ments, the formulation

e presented here.

— (ed.). 2006. *Research Design*.

A comprehensive set of articles on many aspects of research design from a wide variety of positions.

Flick, U. 2007. *Designing Qualitative Research*.

A brief introduction.

Marshall, C. and G. B. Rossman 2006. *Designing Qualitative Research*.

Provides pragmatic, step-by-step guidance for developing and defending proposals in qualitative research.

Punch, K. F. 2006. *Developing Effective Research Proposals*.

A concise and practical outline.

Silverman, D. 2005. *Doing Qualitative Research*.

A broad coverage of the design, conduct, analysis, writing up, supervision, examination and publishing of postgraduate qualitative research.